# The influence of cognitive profile in the problem-solving abilities of students with ASD



Polo-Blanco, I.<sup>1</sup>, Suárez-Pinilla, P.<sup>2</sup>, Goñi-Cervera, J.<sup>1</sup>, Olivera, B.<sup>1</sup>, Suárez-Pinilla, M.<sup>3</sup>, Payá, B.<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Universidad de Cantabria, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Hospital Marqués Valdecilla, Spain; <sup>3</sup> UCL, London

Introduction	Results								
<ul> <li>Recent increase in the number of students with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) who attend general education classrooms (McDonald et al., 2019).</li> </ul>	COGNITIVE ABILITIES AND MATH PERFORMANCE								
	Neurocognitive Scores Based on the Accuracy Rate Groups in ASD and non-ASD Students								
<ul> <li>Growing interest in studying the academic performance of these</li> </ul>		ASD ( <i>N</i> =26)				Non-ASD (N=26)			
individuals, and in particular their mathematical performance		≤25% ( <i>n</i> =15)	>25% ( <i>n</i> =11)			≤25% ( <i>n</i> =6)	>25% (n=20)		
<ul> <li>In ASD individuals, cognitive deficits that could interfere with mathematical</li> </ul>	Neurocognitive variables	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	F(1, 24); p	Effect size	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	F(1, 24); p	Effect size
performance during the problem-solving process	Executive functions								_
	Working memory	86.85 (3.48)	93.93 (4.36)	F=1.12; p=.302	$\eta^2 = 0.05$	99.53 (5.40)	100.84 (2.63)	<i>F</i> =0.04; <i>p</i> =.841	
<ul> <li>In ASD individuals, more rudimentary math problem-solving strategies like</li> </ul>	Response set	6.28 (1.27)	8.84 (1.20)	F=1.522; p=.235	$\eta^2 = 0.09$	10.05 (1.72)	8.63 (0.63)	F=0.68; p=.423	$\eta^2 = 0.04$
those based on drawing and counting persist	Inhibition	4.82 (1.06)	9.07 (1.33)	<i>F</i> =4.34; <i>p</i> =.050*	$\eta^{2}\!\!=0.17$	10.78 (1.67)	8.86 (0.81)	F=0.93; p=.346	$\eta^2 = 0.04$
<ul> <li>We examine relationships between mathematical problem-solving</li> </ul>	Verbal comprehension	83.81 (3.32)	97.98 (4.16)	F=4.94; <i>p</i> =.037*	$\eta^{2}=0.19$	105.47 (4.57)	104.81 (2.23)	<i>F</i> =0.01; <i>p</i> =.905	$\eta^2 = 0.00$
performance (in terms of the strategies used and accuracy of responses)	Social perception								
and the main cognitive domains associated with mathematical	Affect recognition	7.78 (1.15)	7.12 (1.44)	F=0.08; p=.706	$\eta^2 = 0.00$	10.09 (0.95)	9.87 (0.46)	F=0.04; p=.844	$\eta^2 = 0.00$
	Theory of Mind	13.50 (1.54)	20.13 (1.92)	F=5.08; p=.035*	$\eta^2 \!\!= 0.20$	23.06 (0.97)	22.38 (0.47)	<i>F</i> =0.34; <i>p</i> =.561	$\eta^2 = 0.02$
performance of children with and without ASD.	Note. ASD: Autism Spec	trum Disorder with	out intellectual di	sability; SE: Standard	l Error; η <sup>2</sup> = Partia	l eta squared effect	size		
	*: <i>p</i> ≤.05								

### **Objectives**

- Study strategies used during the mathematical problem-solving process  $\bullet$ both in autistic and non-autistic students.
- Determine associations between the level of abstraction of the strategies  $\bullet$ used, and the main cognitive domains associated with mathematical performance, such as executive functions, verbal comprehension and social perception (affect recognition and Theory of Mind).

## Methodology

• Participants. 26 autistic and 26 non-autistic children without intellectual disabilities, between 6 and 12 years old, matched by sex, age and school (grade and classroom).

	ASD (N=26)	non-ASD (N=26)	Statistics	р	Effect size
Sex (males)	23 (88.4%)	23 (88.4%)	$\chi^2(2) = 0.00$	1.000	$\Phi = 0.00$
Age (years)	9.35 (2.06)	9.41 (1.96)	t (50) = -0.10	.922	<i>d</i> = -0.03
Parental SES			$\chi^2(4) = 4.56$	.336	V = 0.30
V (High level)	5 (19.2%)	9 (34.6%)			
IV (High-middle level)	8 (30.8%)	8 (30.8%)			
III (Middle level)	8 (30.8%)	3 (11.5%)			
II (Low-middle level)	4 (15.4%)	3 (11.5%)			
I (Low level)	1 (3.8%)	3 (11.5%)			
Mathematical competence					
TEMA-3 score	54.00 (13.15)	62.81 (10.19)	t(50) = -2.70	.009**	<i>d</i> = -0.75
Mathematical age	7.56 (1.10)	8.38 (0.93)	t(50) = -2.89	.006**	<i>d</i> = -0.81
Intelligence					
WISC-FSIQ	89.88 (11.78)	102.00 (10.98)	t(50) = -3.84	<.001**	<i>d</i> = -1.06
Executive Functions					
Working memory	89.85 (12.47)	100.54 (11.56)	t(50) = -3.19	.002**	<i>d</i> = -0.89
Response set	7.62 (3.44)	8.71 (3.04)	t(50) = -1.09	.281	<i>d</i> = -0.34
Inhibition	6.62 (3.31)	9.31 (3.80)	<i>t</i> (50) = -2.72	.009**	<i>d</i> = -0.75
Verbal comprehension	89.81 ( <i>19.29</i> )	104.96 (11.84)	t(50) = -3.41	.001**	<i>d</i> = -0.95
Social perception					
Affect recognition	7.50 (3.34)	9.92 (1.94)	t(50) = -3.20	.002**	<i>d</i> = -0.89

- Among ASD students, lower level of abstraction of strategy by poorer  $\bullet$ performing ASD students (< 25% correct responses) with respect to the rest of the ASD group.
- In the non-ASD group, no differences in the strategy used.  $\bullet$
- Positive correlation between the level of abstraction of the strategy used and three cognitive variables - inhibition, cognitive flexibility and ToM - in the whole group of ASD children, not found in the non-ASD group

### Discussion

- Higher proportion of subjects with ASD (57%) compared to subjects without ASD (23%) in the group of poorer performers (≤ 25% correct answers).
- In the groups with the highest performance (success rate > 50% correct responses), there were no differences in the proportion of ASD compared to the non-ASD subjects.
- Poorer performing students with ASD used less elaborate strategies than the rest of ASD students
- positive correlation between the level of abstraction of the strategy used and three cognitive variables - inhibition, cognitive flexibility and theory of

• Mathematical problem solving strategies (example for a 4x8 problem): (1) incorrect strategies (e.g. performs a sum 4+8)

(2) direct modeling with counting (e.g. draws four groups with eight objects each, and counts everything);

(3) counting strategies (e.g. repeated addition 8 + 8 + 8 + 8); and (4) number facts (solves the multiplication 4x8).

#### Results

#### MATH PERFORMANCE

Mathematical Problem Solving in ASD and non-ASD Students								Model-Based Problem Solving (COMPS) approach have been successfully					
	ASD ( <i>N</i> =26	)	non-ASD (N	<i>I</i> =26)	Statistics	p	Effect size	adapted to ASD students' characteristics improving their ability to solve					
MPI median score	1.98 (1.34),	range:1-4	2.35 (1.38),	range: 1 – 4	t(50) = 0.44	.339	<i>d</i> = -0.27	mathematical problems (Bruno et al., 2021; García Moya et al., in press;					
Accuracy (out of 1)	0.35 (0.38),	range:0 – 1	0.50 (0.28),	range: 0.13 – 1	t(50) = -1.62	.112	<i>d</i> = -0.45	Polo-Blanco et al., 2021, 2022))					
Level of accuracy	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected									
0-25%	15 (57%)	10.5 (40.4%)	6 (23%)	10.5 (40.4%)	Fisher's=8.57	.034*	V = 0.41	Acknowledgments. This work was supported by project PID2019-					
26-50%	3 (11.5%)	7 (26.9%)	11 (42.3%)	7 (26.9%)				105677RB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033					
51-75%	3 (11.5%)	3.5(13.5%)	4 (15.4%)	3.5 (13.5%)									
76-100%	5 (19.2%)	5 (19.2%)	5 (19.2%)	5 (19.2%)									
SD: Standard deviation; V	= Cramer's V.					-							
								Bibliography 1. Mulligan, J. T., & Mitchelmore, M. C. (1997). Young children's intuitive models of multiplication and					
Project we	b: <u>https://m</u>	natematio	casyautis	smo.unica	<u>n.es/</u>			<ul> <li>division. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 28(3), 309-330.</li> <li>Polo-Bianco, I., Van Vaerenbergh, S., Bruno, A., &amp; González, M. J. (2022). Conceptual model-based approach to teaching multiplication and division word-problem solving to a student with autism spectrum disorder. Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities, 57(1), 31-43.</li> <li>Xin, Y. P. (2012). Conceptual Model-Based Problem Solving: Teach Students with Learning Difficulties to Solve Math Problems. The Netherlands: Sense Publishers.</li> </ul>					

- mind (ToM) in the group of subjects with ASD, but not in the non-ASD group.
- it could be hypothesized that the use of simplistic strategies to solve mathematical problems by the population with ASD is indicative of cognitive deficits in these functions.
- This could help to identify the subgroup of students with ASD with the most mathematical difficulties.
- ASD students who exhibited poorer mathematical performance (i.e.,  $\leq$ 25% of correct responses) were comparatively impaired in terms of inhibition, theory of mind and verbal comprehension.

#### Conclusions

- Our results help understanding mathematical problem-solving difficulties in students with ASD.
- direct implications on the design of educational interventions in subjects with ASD and mathematical difficulties.
- Interventions should consider stimulating the cognitive functions involved in mathematical problem solving that are more affected in ASD population (cognitive flexibility, inhibition, theory of mind and verbal comprehension)
- Some methodologies Schema Based Instruction (SBI) or the Conceptual **C**. . I I. .

Autism Europe Congress 2022 Cracovia, (Poland).

This work has been supported by the project with reference PID2019-105677RB-I00 funded by MCIN/ AEI /10.13039/501100011033.